IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF IOWA EASTERN DIVISION

MIDWEST DIRECT LOGISTICS, INC.	3 s			
Plaintiff,	No. 15-CV-2013-LRR			
VS.	VERDICT FORM			
TWIN CITY TANNING WATERLOO, LLC,				
Defendant.				
e				
	ogistics, Inc.'s breach of an express contract ion Nos. 14 & 18, we find in favor of:			
Answer: Datacon Turi	21), actional primate presson			
Plaintiff Midwest Direct Logistics,	Inc. or Defendant Twin City Tanning Waterloo, LLC			
Question 2 and proceed answered Question 1 in	If you answered Question 1 in favor of Midwest, do not answer Question 2 and proceed directly to answer Question 3. If you answered Question 1 in favor of Twin City Tanning Waterloo, proceed to answer Question 2.			
	Logistics, Inc.'s breach of an implied-in-fact Instruction No. 21, we find in favor of:			
Answer: Patienthant Twin	ILL and weard presumed the			
Plaintiff Midwest Direct Logistics,	, Inc. or Defendant Twin City Tanning Waterloo, LLC			
proceed to answer Que and Question 2 in favor	on 1 or Question 2 in favor of Midwest, stion 3. If you answered Question 1 of Twin City Tanning Waterloo, do questions but merely sign and date this ce provided.			

Question 3: We find Midwest Direct Logistics, Inc.'s damages to be:

Answer:	\$		_	
(st	ating the amount or,	if none, write the word	"none")	
s/Juror		**		
Jurør Signature &				
Juror Signature s/Juror	-			
Jurop Signature //				
Juror Signature s/Juror	1 /			
Juror Signature s/Juror				
Juror Signature s/Juror	\sim			
Juror Signature s/Juror				
Juror Signature				
			11/151	16
				DATE